Pudding Theory: A Unified Framework of Spacetime, Information, and Consciousness

Sterling Geisel
The QBist Lab

September 21, 2025

Abstract

The unification of quantum mechanics, general relativity, and consciousness remains one of the most significant challenges in modern science. *Pudding Theory* proposes that the universe is composed of three foundational components: spacetime, information, and a universal consciousness field, collectively termed the pudding, while a distinct vector field, Lumina, mediates their interactions. Within this framework, Lumina couples to these layers and, through structured gauge couplings, gives rise to matter and energy and to progressively complex conscious states.

We present a refined theoretical formulation as a gauge invariant effective field theory that is compatible with no signaling and with existing precision bounds. The consciousness field is a complex scalar Ξ whose real part recovers the low energy variable C. Lumina is modeled as an Abelian gauge field A_μ. Priors are realized as slow fields $\theta^i(x)$ on a statistical manifold equipped with the Fisher Rao metric. Laboratory probes are treated as open quantum systems governed by a completely positive Lindblad master equation that yields explicit dephasing and diffusion kernels from

Pudding correlators. The formulation delivers concrete, preregistered tests in matter wave interferometry, optomechanics, and gravity mediated entanglement that can either produce a win on public data or close the parameter space in which Pudding dynamics could bend laboratory reality at human scales.

Keywords: consciousness, vibe cloud, Lumina, priors, spacetime, gauge invariance, pudding storm, observer effect, effective field theory, Lindblad

Why This Matters

Pudding Theory treats awareness as a field with lawful dynamics. The upgraded formulation avoids superluminal signaling and places the theory inside the language of effective field theory and open quantum systems. This allows direct confrontation with data. The math is real. The predictions are explicit. The claims are falsifiable.

1 Introduction

The original Pudding Theory posits three foundational components:

- **Spacetime:** A differentiable manifold with metric $g_{\mu\nu}$, governed by general relativity.
- **Information:** All potential configurations, quantified by statistical mechanics and information theory.
- **Consciousness substrate:** A field representing raw awareness.

A mediating process, **Lumina**, organizes interactions between these layers.

Compatibility map. To keep the narrative while ensuring consistency, we use a complex scalar $\Xi = (v + \sigma)\, e^{i\phi/v}$. The original real field C is recovered as $C \equiv \sqrt{2}\,\mathrm{Re}\,\Xi$ in unitary gauge. Lumina becomes an Abelian vector A_μ with field strength $F_{\mu\nu}$. Priors become slow fields $\theta^i(x)$ living on a statistical manifold with Fisher Rao metric $G_{ij}(\theta)$.

Quick Terminology Bridge

Technical Term	Narrative or Plain Language
Complex scalar Ξ with $C \equiv \sqrt{2}\,\mathrm{Re}\,\Xi$	Consciousness substrate or raw awareness in spacetime.
Abelian vector A_μ	Lumina or spark, a mediating flow that binds thought to matter.
Priors field $\theta^i(x)$ on Fisher Rao manifold	Bayesian expectations that weight probabilities.
Composite functional $V[\Xi, A, \theta]$	Vibe cloud, the local probability engine that sets dephasing rates.
Overlap of V from many agents	Pudding storm, a crowd sourced reality bend event.
Open system collapse like event	Spark moment, when a possibility becomes a stable fact.

Table 1: Glossary linking Pudding Theory physics to story world terms while keeping the EFT mapping explicit.

2 Fundamental Concepts

2.1 Spacetime

Spacetime carries metric $g_{\mu\nu}$ and curvature R. Einstein equations read

$$G_{\mu\nu} = \frac{8\pi G}{c^4} T_{\mu\nu}. \tag{1}$$

2.2 Information

Information measures possible configurations. A standard choice is Shannon entropy $I = -k_B \sum_i p_i \ln p_i$, which sets thermodynamic relations. In this paper we also give information a geometric face through the Fisher Rao metric.

2.3 Consciousness Field

We promote the original real scalar $C(x)$ to a complex scalar $\Xi(x)$. The modulus and phase carry awareness degrees of freedom. This choice allows gauge invariant couplings and healthy dynamics. The relation $C \equiv \sqrt{2}\,\mathrm{Re}\,\Xi$ preserves the original interpretation at low energy.

2.4 Lumina Field

Lumina is an Abelian gauge field A_μ with field strength $F_{\mu\nu} = \partial_\mu A_\nu - \partial_\nu A_\mu$. If Ξ takes a vacuum expectation value v, then A_μ acquires a mass $m_A = g\,v$.

2.5 Priors as Fields

Let $\theta^i(x)$ parameterize local expectations. Equip θ space with the Fisher Rao metric $G_{ij}(\theta)$. This makes priors dynamical and measurable.

3 Mathematical Framework

3.1 Total Lagrangian

We use a gauge invariant action

$$S = \int d^4x \sqrt{-g} \left[\frac{c^3}{16\pi G} R - \frac{1}{4} F_{\mu\nu} F^{\mu\nu} + |D_\mu \Xi|^2 - \lambda \left(|\Xi|^2 - \frac{v^2}{2} \right)^2 + \xi |\Xi|^2 R + \frac{\kappa}{2}\, g^{\mu\nu} G_{ij}(\theta)\, \partial_\mu \theta^i \partial_\nu \theta^j - V_\theta(\theta) \right], \tag{2}$$

with $D_\mu = \nabla_\mu - igA_\mu$. The $\xi|\Xi|^2 R$ term is the standard curvature coupling for a scalar. This action reduces to the original narrative when written in terms of C and A_μ.

3.2 Gauge Invariance and Renormalizability

The vector sector is Abelian and the scalar sector is renormalizable at the level of the renormalizable operators shown. Higher derivative operators can be added in a derivative expansion and must satisfy standard positivity constraints to ensure a healthy low energy theory.

3.3 Field Equations

Varying the action gives

$$\nabla_\mu F^{\mu\nu} = g\, \mathrm{Im}\, \left(\Xi^* D^\nu \Xi \right), \tag{3}$$

$$D_\mu D^\mu \Xi + \lambda \left(|\Xi|^2 - \frac{v^2}{2} \right) \Xi + \xi R \Xi = 0, \tag{4}$$

$$G_{\mu\nu} = \frac{8\pi G}{c^4} \left(T^{\Xi}_{\mu\nu} + T^{A}_{\mu\nu} + T^{\theta}_{\mu\nu} + T^{\mathrm{SM}}_{\mu\nu} \right). \tag{5}$$

3.4 Open Quantum Dynamics in Place of Nonlinear Schrödinger

To avoid superluminal signaling we describe laboratory probes with a completely positive, trace preserving master equation

$$\dot{\rho} = -\frac{i}{\hbar} [H_0 + H_{\mathrm{int}}(A_\mu, \Xi), \rho] + \sum_a \gamma_a \left(L_a \rho L_a^\dagger - \frac{1}{2} \{ L_a^\dagger L_a, \rho \} \right). \tag{6}$$

The Lindblad operators L_a and rates γ_a are functionals of correlators in the Pudding sector. This nests collapse like phenomenology while preserving no signaling.

4 Implications of the Pudding Theory

4.1 Unification of Physics and Consciousness

The complex scalar and vector supply a lawful interface by which awareness variables influence effective potentials and dephasing kernels without breaking causality.

4.2 Observer Effect and Collapse

Observation corresponds to changes in the environment that modify the Lindblad structure seen by the system. The vibe cloud $V[\Xi, A, \theta]$ determines the local noise spectrum that selects outcomes through environment induced superselection.

4.3 Quantum Gravity Interface

The curvature coupling $\xi|\Xi|^2 R$ and the stress energy of the Pudding sector modify gravity at small levels. This can be probed by gravity mediated entanglement tests and precision accelerometry.

4.4 Neuroscience and Mind

The priors field $\theta^i(x)$ implements predictive processing in field form. The brain can be modeled as an adaptive receiver that minimizes a free energy functional on the Fisher Rao manifold associated with its generative model.

4.5 Philosophical Considerations

The formalism is compatible with panpsychist intuitions while remaining operational. The theory is agnostic about qualia and only claims that awareness correlates with the dynamics of Ξ and θ.

5 Testable Predictions

- Additional dephasing in matter wave interferometry with a functional Γ_{Pud} determined by $S_\Phi(\omega)$ from Pudding correlators.
- Additional momentum diffusion D_{pp}^{Pud} in mechanical oscillators from force noise $S_{FF}^{\mathrm{Pud}}(\omega)$.
- Tiny modifications in gravity mediated entanglement growth due to background Pudding fluctuations.
- Strict nulls for human presence. Any human dependent effect must appear only after a blinded, preregistered analysis that isolates cognitive tasks from instruments.

6 Experimental Proposals

6.1 Quantum Interference Experiments

Perform electron or atom interferometry and fit visibility to $\mathcal{V} = \mathcal{V}_0 \exp[-\Gamma_{\mathrm{Pud}}]$. Publish bounds or a preregistered win.

6.2 Entanglement and Decoherence Studies

Test whether entangled pairs acquire excess dephasing when one path samples engineered Pudding like fluctuations that mimic A_μ or σ noise.

6.3 Astrophysical Observations

Search for small anomalies in lensing and background correlations that could arise from the stress energy of Ξ and A_μ. Treat this as long term and secondary to tabletop tests.

6.4 Laboratory Detection of Field Excitations

Use SQUIDs or superconducting resonators to probe narrowband noise consistent with a massive A_μ. Report nulls that bound g and m_A.

7 Roadmap for Future Research

1. **Theoretical development:** extend the EFT with higher derivative operators subject to positivity and analyticity bounds, compute correlators, and publish parameter maps.
2. **Experimental collaboration:** partner with quantum optics and optomechanics labs for noise spectroscopy and visibility tests.
3. **Parameter estimation:** translate collapse model bounds into Pudding bounds using Appendix C and publish a combined exclusion plot.
4. **Community engagement:** preregister analyses, release code and data, invite replication.

8 Conclusion

We preserve the original narrative and intent, now supported by a gauge invariant action and open system dynamics. The theory meets physics where it lives, and it is ready for decisive tests. Either a single preregistered win crowns it or the exclusion plot retires it. Both outcomes improve the conversation about consciousness and physics.

Appendix A: Correlators and Kernels

Assume stationary Gaussian noise with correlators

$$\langle A_\mu(x) A_\nu(x') \rangle = \int \frac{d\omega}{2\pi} \, S_{\mu\nu}(\omega) \, e^{-i\omega(t-t')} \, C_\ell(|\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{x}'|). \tag{7}$$

The induced phase noise functional takes the form

$$\Gamma_{\text{Pud}} = \frac{1}{\hbar^2} \int \frac{d\omega}{2\pi} \, S_\Phi(\omega) \, |\tilde{f}(\omega)|^2. \tag{8}$$

Appendix B: Positivity and Dispersion

Higher derivative operators in the vector and scalar sectors must satisfy positivity bounds derived from analyticity and unitarity of scattering amplitudes. This blocks superluminal modes in the low energy theory.

Appendix C: Mapping to CSL Language

For white noise with rate parameter λ and correlation length r_c, the momentum diffusion for a rigid body scales as $D_{pp} \propto \lambda \, f(r_c)$. The Pudding sector reproduces this with appropriate choices of $S_{FF}^{\text{Pud}}(\omega)$ and C_ℓ. This allows a direct translation between published CSL bounds and Pudding parameter bounds.

References

1. Chalmers, D. (1996). *The Conscious Mind: In Search of a Fundamental Theory.* Oxford University Press.

2. Hameroff, S., and Penrose, R. (2014). Consciousness in the universe: A review of the Orch OR theory. *Physics of Life Reviews*, 11(1), 39–78.

3. Kafatos, M., Tanzi, R. E., and Chopra, D. (2011). How consciousness becomes the physical universe. *Journal of Cosmology*, 14, 3–14.

4. Misner, C. W., Thorne, K. S., and Wheeler, J. A. (1973). *Gravitation.* W. H. Freeman.

5. Penrose, R. (1994). *Shadows of the Mind.* Oxford University Press.

6. Rovelli, C. (1996). Relational quantum mechanics. *International Journal of Theoretical Physics*, 35(8), 1637–1678.

7. Tegmark, M. (2015). Consciousness as a state of matter. *Chaos, Solitons and Fractals*, 76, 238–270.

8. Tononi, G. (2008). Consciousness as integrated information. *The Biological Bulletin*, 215(3), 216–242.

9. von Neumann, J. (1955). *Mathematical Foundations of Quantum Mechanics*. Princeton University Press.

10. Lindblad, G. (1976). On the generators of quantum dynamical semigroups. *Communications in Mathematical Physics* 48, 119–130.

11. Gisin, N. (1990). Weinberg's nonlinear quantum mechanics and superluminal communications. *Physics Letters A* 143, 1–2.

12. Polchinski, J. (1991). Weinberg's nonlinear quantum mechanics and the EPR paradox. *Physical Review Letters* 66, 397–400.

13. Bassi, A., Lochan, K., Satin, S., Singh, T. P., Ulbricht, H. (2013). Models of wave function collapse and experimental tests. *Reviews of Modern Physics* 85, 471–527.

14. Vinante, A., et al. (2017). Improved noninterferometric test of collapse models using ultracold cantilevers. *Physical Review Letters* 119, 110401.

15. Adams, A., Arkani Hamed, N., Dubovsky, S., Nicolis, A., Rattazzi, R. (2006). Causality, analyticity and an IR obstruction to UV completion. *Journal of High Energy Physics* 10, 014.

16. Jacobson, T. (2008). Einstein aether gravity: a status report. *arXiv*:0801.1547.

17. Heisenberg, L. (2014). Generalization of the Proca action. *arXiv*:1402.7026.

18. Amari, S. (2016). *Information Geometry and Its Applications*. Springer.

19. Friston, K. (2010). The free energy principle. *Nature Reviews Neuroscience* 11, 127–138.